
# Burden Third Lake

Burden Lake Improvement Association

•

F



### Lake Characteristics

Surface Area (ac/ha)	366/148			
Max Depth (ft/m)	36/11			
Mean Depth (ft/m)	9.8/3			
Retention Time (years)	0.88			
Lake Classification	В			
Dam Classification	В			
Watershed Characteristics				
Watershed area (ac/ha)	2792/1130			
Watershed/Lake Ratio	8			
Lake and Wetlands %	17.16%			
Agricultural %	6.92%			

https://www.dec.ny.gov/data/IF/CSLAP/2021\_CSLAPreport\_Burden Third Lake%281301BUR0386C%29.html

7/14/22, 10:03 PM	Burden Third	d Lake	
Forest, Shrub, Grasses %	65.18%		
Residential %	10.73%		
Urban %	0.00%		
<b>CSLAP</b> Participation			
Years in CSLAP	1997-1999/ 2003-2011/ 2013-2021		
Volunteers	Craig Cioffi, Chelsea Zantay, Dennis Ryan		
Trophic State	HABs Susceptibility	Invasive Vulnerability	
Mesotrophic	No Reported Blooms, Low susceptibility	Invasives present, High vulnerability	Dov (http
•			►

## Burden Third Lake - 2021 Sampling Season Results

"Seasonal change" shows the current year variability. Red shaded results indicate eutrophic water quality conditions. "Season Median" is the middle value (or average of the middle two values) of the current year's data in order. "Decadal Median" is the median of the most recent ten years of water quality data. "Longterm Median" is the median of all years of water quality data. "Decadal Trend?" and "Longterm Trend?" indicate whether there was a statistically significant change in the water quality data over the most recent ten years and all years, respectively. In these columns, 'No' indicates there was no significant positive trend (p<0.05), ' $\uparrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant positive trend (p<0.05), ' $\uparrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.05), ' $\downarrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.05), ' $\downarrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.05), ' $\downarrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.05), ' $\downarrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.05), ' $\downarrow$ ? indicates there was a strong significant negative trend (p<0.01), and blank indicates there was insufficient data to identify a trend. In this report, seasonal trend analyses for individual sampling years and long term trend analyses show changes in key water quality indicators over a consistent index period (mid-June thru mid-September).

Open			202	2021 Sampling Results Seasonal Season Decadal Deca				Deerstel					
Water Indicators	06-06	06-20	07-05	07-26	08-09	08-22	09-12	09-26	Change	Season Median		Decadal Trend?	Lo Me
Clarity (m)	6.8	7.2	5.2	4.4	5.3	4	2.4	2.2	$\sim$	4.8	4.2	no	3.(
Deep Temp (degC)	11	11	12	14	14	22	15	14		14	14	1	14
Upper Temp (degC)	20	21	22	25	24	24.5	19	19	$\frown$	21.5	22		22
Surface TP (mg/L)		0.007	0.007		0.026	0.011	0.011	0.015	$\checkmark$	0.011	0.01	no	0.(
Surface TDP (mg/L)	0.004				0.008	0.005	0.006	0.008	$\checkmark$	0.006	0.005	no	0.(
Deep TP (mg/L)		0.049	0.459			0.022	0.027	0.085	$\sim$	0.049	0.028	no	0.(
Deep TDP (mg/L)	0.013	0.006	0.033			0.008	0.014	0.006	$\sim$	0.01	0.012	no	0.(
TN (mg/L)		0.176	0.258	0.273	0.179	0.273	0.371	0.325	$\sim$	0.273	0.309	no	0.3

Burden Third Lake

		202	1 Samp	ling Re	sults			Saasanal	Saacan	ann Doodd Dooor	Dooodal	al Lo
06-06	06-20		Median	Median	Trend?	Me						
0.247	0.211	0.221	0.252	0.158	0.247	0.267	0.228	$\checkmark$	0.237	0.252	₽	0.2
	25.3	36.4		6.9	24.2	34.3	22.3	$\sim$	24.7	30.2	no	28
	0	0	0	0					0	0.023	no	0.(
0.157	0.17	0.106	0.218		0.111	0.111		$\sim$	0.134	0.094	no	0.(
	1.4	0.9	3.3	2.7	3.9			<u></u>	2.7	2.5	no	4.1
8.3	8.4	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.4	7.6	7.4	2	7.7	7.6	no	7.{
14.5									14.5	11.6		11
52		52.4	43.5						52	51.7	no	51
6	8	6	12	13	15	9	15	$\sim$	10.5	7	no	7
295.5	291.4	296.4	272.6	258.3	258.1	218.8	218.3	~	265.4	211.1		17
0.4	0.5	0.4	1.3	1.5	3.6	14.7	13.6		1.4	1	no	1
	0.247 0.157 8.3 14.5 52 6 295.5	0.247 0.211   25.3 0   0.157 0.17   0.157 1.4   8.3 8.4   14.5 1.4   52 8   6 8   295.5 291.4	06-0606-2007-050.2470.2110.22125.336.400000.1570.170.1061.40.98.38.47.614.57.65252.4686295.5291.4296.4	06-06 $06-20$ $07-05$ $07-26$ $0.247$ $0.211$ $0.221$ $0.252$ $25.3$ $36.4$ $25.3$ $36.4$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0.157$ $0.17$ $0.106$ $0.218$ $1.4$ $0.9$ $3.3$ $8.3$ $8.4$ $7.6$ $7.8$ $14.5$ $52.4$ $43.5$ $6$ $8$ $6$ $12$ $295.5$ $291.4$ $296.4$ $272.6$	06-06 06-20 07-05 07-26 08-09   0.247 0.211 0.221 0.252 0.158   25.3 36.4 6.9   0 0 0 0   0.157 0.17 0.106 0.218   1.4 0.9 3.3 2.7   8.3 8.4 7.6 7.8   14.5 7.6 7.8 7.8   52 52.4 43.5 43.5   6 8 6 12 13   295.5 291.4 296.4 272.6 258.3	0.2470.2110.2210.2520.1580.24725.336.4.6.924.200000010000001.40.93.32.73.98.38.47.67.87.87.414.55252.443.5686121315295.5291.4296.4272.6258.3258.1	06-06 06-20 07-05 07-26 08-09 08-22 09-12   0.247 0.211 0.221 0.252 0.158 0.247 0.267   25.3 36.4 . 6.9 24.2 34.3   0 0 0 0 14.3   0.157 0.17 0.106 0.218 0.111 0.111   0.157 0.17 0.106 0.218 0.111 0.111   1.4 0.9 3.3 2.7 3.9 .   14.5 . . 7.8 7.4 7.6   14.5 . . . . . .   52 52.4 43.5 . . . .   6 8 6 12 13 15 9   295.5 291.4 296.4 272.6 258.3 258.1 218.8	06-06 06-20 07-05 07-26 08-09 08-22 09-12 09-26   0.247 0.211 0.221 0.252 0.158 0.247 0.267 0.228   25.3 36.4 . 6.9 24.2 34.3 22.3   0 0 0 0 0 14.9 22.3   0.157 0.17 0.106 0.218 0.111 0.111 111   1.4 0.9 3.3 2.7 3.9 . .   8.3 8.4 7.6 7.8 7.4 7.6 7.4   14.5 . . . . . . . . .   52 52.4 43.5 . . . . . . .   6 8 6 12 13 15 9 15   295.5 291.4 296.4 272.6 258.3 258.1 218.8 218.3	Octobe   Octobe   O7-26   O8-09   O8-22   O9-12   O9-26   Change     0.247   0.211   0.221   0.252   0.158   0.247   0.267   0.228   \scalable     25.3   36.4   6.9   24.2   34.3   22.3   \scalable     0   0   0   0   0       0.157   0.17   0.106   0.218   0.111   0.111      1.4   0.9   3.3   2.7   3.9       8.3   8.4   7.6   7.8   7.8   7.4   7.6   7.4      14.5            52   52.4   43.5         6   8   6   12   13   15   9   15      295.5   291.4   296.4   272.6   258.3   258.1   <	$06-06$ $06-20$ $07-05$ $07-26$ $08-09$ $08-22$ $09-12$ $09-26$ ChangeMedian $0.247$ $0.211$ $0.221$ $0.252$ $0.158$ $0.247$ $0.267$ $0.228$ $\checkmark$ $0.237$ $25.3$ $36.4$ $6.9$ $24.2$ $34.3$ $22.3$ $\checkmark$ $24.7$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $$ $0$ $0.157$ $0.17$ $0.106$ $0.218$ $0.111$ $0.111$ $\checkmark$ $0.134$ $1.4$ $0.9$ $3.3$ $2.7$ $3.9$ $$ $2.7$ $8.3$ $8.4$ $7.6$ $7.8$ $7.8$ $7.4$ $7.6$ $7.4$ $\frown$ $14.5$ $$ $52$ $52.4$ $43.5$ $$ $52$ $6$ $8$ $6$ $12$ $13$ $15$ $9$ $15$ $\frown$ $10.5$ $295.5$ $291.4$ $296.4$ $272.6$ $258.3$ $258.1$ $218.8$ $218.3$ $\frown$ $265.4$	Note that the second of the second s	Decadal

## Burden Third Lake – Lake Scorecard

Water Quality Indicators	Average Year	2021	
Phosphorus	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	
Chlorophyll A	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	
Secchi	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	
Lake Perception	Poor	Good	
Shoreline Harmful Algal Blooms	Fair	Good	
Open Water Algae Levels	Fair	Fair	
Aquatic Invasive Species	Present		

## Burden Third Lake – 2021 Lake Summary

### Q. What is the condition of the lake?

A. Burden Third Lake continues to be mesotrophic, or moderately productive, based on moderate water clarity, moderate algae levels (chlorophyll a), and moderate nutrient (phosphorous) levels. Soluble nutrients were analyzed in 2021. Most of the phosphorus in the lake is soluble, indicating a high potential for more algae growth. Most of the nitrogen in the lake is soluble. The waterbody is slightly alkaline or basic, with hard water, low water color, and low nitrogen levels.

#### Q. How did this year compare to previous years?

A. Compared to previous years, conductivity was higher in 2021. Compared to previous years, recreational evaluation was more favorable in 2021. Water clarity (secchi), chlorophyll a, total phosphorus, color, pH, chloride, surface water temperature, deep water temperature, water quality evaluation and aquatic plant coverage in 2021 were similar to previous years. There is insufficient data to identify trends in identify trends in the remaining water quality parameters.

### Q. How does this lake compare to other New York lakes?

A. Compared to other New York lakes, this lake usually has higher water clarity (secchi) and chloride and less favorable recreational evaluation.Compared to other New York lakes, this lake usually has lower color and calcium.

### Q. Are there any (statistically significant) trends?

A. Over the past 25 years, clarity and conductivity have increased significantly. Over the past 25 years, chlorophyll a, surface total phosphorus, surface water temperature and total dissolved nitrogen have decreased significantly. Over the past ten years, conductivity, deep water temperature and surface water temperature have increased significantly. Over the past ten years, total dissolved nitrogen has decreased significantly.

### Q. Has the lake experienced harmful algal blooms (HABs)?

A. Water quality conditions generally indicate a low susceptibility to blooms, with frequent blooms along the shoreline or in the open water.

The open water algal community in the lake is usually comprised of intermediate cyanobacteria levels. This community is dominated by Aphanizomenon and Dolichospermum. Typically, overall open water algae levels are intermediate. Overall open water toxin levels are consistently below recreational levels of concern.

This year, overall algae levels were intermediate, with cyanobacteria the most common taxa in open water samples, and with high cyanobacteria levels. Open water toxin levels were at times low but detectable this year.

Shoreline blooms were not reported and/or sampled this year.

#### Q. Have any aquatic invasive species (AIS) been reported?

A. Invasive species have been reported in this waterbody. Aquatic invasive plant and/or animal species reported include: virile crayfish, Eurasian watermilfoil, Curly leafed pondweed, water chestnut. This waterbody has high vulnerability for introduction of new invasive species due to invasive species already being present. This waterbody has low vulnerability for establishment of invasive bivalves based on calcium

#### Burden Third Lake

levels. For more information about invasive species in the area, or to report an invasive species observation, visit NY iMapInvasives at https://www.nyimapinvasives.org/ (https://www.nyimapinvasives.org/).


## Burden Third Lake 2021 NYHABs notifications

Were there any reported HABs this season? No.

### Shoreline HAB Samples 2021

There were no shoreline HAB samples taken this season.

## **Open Water Algae**




### Shoreline Algae


There is no shoreline algae or shoreline microcystin data to display from this year.

## Burden Third Lake - In-Season Trend Analysis


### In Season Water Clarity




### In Season Water Temperature



## Burden Third Lake Long-Term Trend Analysis





## Burden Third Lake Depth Profiles

There is no depth profile data for this site.

## Water Quality Assessments

The Waterbody Inventory/Priority Waterbodies List (WI/PWL) is a statewide inventory of New York's water resources that is used to track a waters ability to support its' best use(s), identify pollutant(s) causing impairment of best use(s), and follow the status of restoration, protection and other water quality activities and efforts. Data collected through CSLAP contributes to the WI/PWL. In order to be included as an assessment unit in the WI/PWL, a lake, pond, or reservoir must be at least 6.4 acres in size.

Download Lake Assessment Here (https://www.dec.ny.gov/data/WQP/PWL/1301-0025.html)

## Lake Stewardship Actions

Individual stewardship activities can help improve water quality: maintain your septic system, reduce fertilizer use, grow a buffer of native plants next to the lake shore, and reduce shoreline erosion and runoff into the lake. Visiting boats should be inspected to prevent the spread of invasive species, and continued community education about and monitoring for invasive species is recommended. Routine education about algae and harmful algal blooms (HABs) within your lake community is recommended; to learn more about HABs and see examples of HABs visit http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/81962.html (http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/81962.html). Occurrences of HABs can be reported to NYSDEC. For more information on keeping New York waters clean, visit http://www.dec.ny.gov/public/43661.html (http://www.dec.ny.gov/public/43661.html).

## How to Read the Report

This guide provides a description of the CSLAP report by section and a glossary. The sampling site is indicated in the header for lakes with more than one routine sampling site.

### Physical Characteristics influence lake quality:

- Surface area is the lake's surface in acres and hectares.
- Max depth is the water depth measured at the deepest part of the lake in feet and meters.
- Mean depth is either known from lake bathymetry or is 0.46 of the maximum depth.
- Retention time is the time it takes for water to pass through a lake in years. This indicates the influence of the watershed on lake conditions.
- Lake classification describes the "best uses" for this lake. Class AA, AAspec, and A lakes may be used as sources of potable water. Class B lakes are suitable for contact recreational activities, like swimming. Class C lakes are suitable for non-contact recreational activities, including fishing, although they may still support swimming. The addition of a T or TS to any of these classes indicates the ability of a lake to support trout populations and/or trout spawning.
- Dam classification defines the hazard class of a dam. Class A, B, C, and D dams are defined as low, intermediate, high, or negligible/no hazard dams in that order. "0" indicates that no class has been assigned to a particular dam, or that no dam exists.

### Watershed characteristics influence lake water quality:

- · Watershed area in acres and hectares
- Land use data come from the most recent (2011) US Geological Survey National Land Use Cover dataset

### CSLAP Participation lists the sampling years and the current year volunteers.

### Key lake status indicators summarize lake conditions:

- Trophic state of a lake refers to its nutrient loading and productivity, measured by phosphorus, algae, and clarity. An oligotrophic lake has low nutrient and algae levels (low productivity) and high clarity while a eutrophic lake has high nutrient and algae levels (high productivity) and low clarity. Mesotrophic lakes fall in the middle.
- Harmful algal bloom susceptibility summarizes the available historical HAB data and indicates the potential for future HAB events.
- Invasive vulnerability indicates whether aquatic invasive species are found in this lake or in nearby lakes, indicating the potential for further introductions.
- Priority waterbody list (PWL) assessment is based on the assessment of use categories and summarized as fully supported, threatened, stressed, impaired, or precluded. Aesthetics and habitat are evaluated as good, fair, or poor. The cited PWL assessment reflects the "worst" assessment for the lake.

**Current year sampling results** shows results for each of the sampling sessions in the year are in tabular form. The seasonal change graphically shows the current year results. Red shading indicates eutrophic readings.

• If there are more than ten shoreline bloom samples collected in a year, bloom sample information is instead summarized by month (May-Oct.) as minimum, average, and maximum values for blue-green algae and

microcystin.

**The Lake Scorecard** represents key water quality indicator results for this lake in an easy-to-read format, comparing information from the current year and historical average of the CSLAP data. Indicators include (1) trophic status of phosphorus, chlorophyll (or algae) and secchi (or clarity); (2) presence or absence of aquatic invasive plants or animals; (3) lake user perception based on perceived physical condition and recreational suitability of the lake; (4) harmful algal bloom samples or reports; and (5) algae levels in the open water of routinely sampled sites.

**The Lake Summary** reviews and encapsulates the data in the lake report, including comparisons to historical data from this lake, and results from nearby lakes.

### Harmful Algal Blooms:

- HAB notification periods on the DEC website http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/83310.html (http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/83310.html)
- Shoreline HAB sample dates and results. Samples are collected from the area that appears to have the worst bloom. Red shading indicates a confirmed HAB.
- HAB sample algae analysis. Algae types typically change during the season. These charts show the amount of the different types of algae found in each mid-lake or shoreline sample. Samples with high levels of BGA are HABs. The second set of charts show the level of toxins found in open water and shoreline samples compared to NYSDOH and NYSDEC guidelines.

**In-Season Trend Analysis** shows water temperature and water clarity during the sampling season. These indicate seasonal changes and show the sample year results compared to the typical historical readings for those dates.

**Long-Term Trend Analysis** puts the current year findings in context. Summer averages (mid-June thru mid-September) for each of the CSLAP years show trends in key water quality indicators. The graphs include relevant criteria (trophic categories, water quality standards, etc.) and boundaries separating these criteria.

## Glossary of Water Quality and HAB Indicators

**Clarity (m):** The depth to which a Secchi disk lowered into the water is visible, measured in meters. Water clarity is one of the trophic indicators for each lake.

**TP (mg/L):** Total phosphorus, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface (1.5 meters below the surface). TP includes all dissolved and particulate forms of phosphorus.

**Deep TP:** Total phosphorus measured in milligrams per liter at depth (1-2 meters above the lake bottom at the deepest part of the lake or a fixed depth in the hypolimnion of very deep lakes).

**TN:** Total nitrogen, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface. TN includes all forms of nitrogen, including NOx (nitrite and nitrate) and NH4 (ammonia).

**N:P Ratio:** The ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus, unitless (mass ratio). This ratio helps determine if a lake is phosphorous or nitrogen limited.

**Chl.a** (µg/L): Chlorophyll a, measured in micrograms per liter. Indicates the amount of algae in the water column. This is an extracted chlorophyll measurement.

**pH:** A range from 0 to 14, with 0 being the most acidic and 14 being the most basic or alkaline. A healthy lake generally ranges between 6.5 and 8.5.

**Cond (µmho/cm):** Specific conductance is a measure of the conductivity of water. A higher value indicates the presence of more dissolved ions. High ion concentrations (> 250) usually indicate hardwater, and low readings (< 125) usually show softwater.

**Calcium (mg/L):** Calcium, a component of lake buffering capacity (the ability to neutralize acid inputs), as measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface (1.5 meters below the surface).

**Chloride (mg/L):** Chloride, or chloride ions, as measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface (1.5 meters below the surface).

Upper Temp (°C): Surface temperature, measured in degrees Celsius.

Deep Temp (°C): Deep water temperature, measured in degrees Celsius.

**BG Chl.a (µg/L):** Chlorophyll a from blue-green algae, measured in micrograms per liter. This is an "unextracted" estimate using a fluoroprobe. This result is different from the extracted chlorophyll measurement described above.

HABs: Harmful Algal Blooms. Algal blooms that have the appearance of cyanobacteria (BGA).

BGA: Blue-green algae, also known as cyanobacteria.

**Microcystin (µg/L):** The most common HAB liver toxin; total microcystin above 20 micrograms per liter indicates a "high toxin" bloom. However, ALL BGA blooms pose a potential health risk and should be avoided.

## Download Water Column Data

Copy	Excel PDF Prin	nt Show 10 ~	entries Search:		
Sample Date	Characteristic Name	Result Value	Sample Type	Fraction	Units
2021-09-12	Water Temperature	19	Epilimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2021-09-26	Water Temperature	19	Epilimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2013-06-19	Water Temperature	11	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2013-07-31	Water Temperature	15.5	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-06-22	Water Temperature	11	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-07-06	Water Temperature	10	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-07-26	Water Temperature	13	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-08-23	Water Temperature	14	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-09-07	Water Temperature	15	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
2015-09-20	Water Temperature	14	Hypolimnion	Not Applicable	deg C
Showing 61 to 70	of 1,619 entries	Previous 1	6 7	8 162	Next
Download	HAB Sample Da	ata			
Copy	Excel PDF Prin	nt Show 10 ~	entries Search:		
Sample Date	Cha	racteristic Name		Result Value	Units
2017-06-28	MICROCYSTIN				ug/L

Sample Date	Characteristic Name	Result Value	Units
2018-06-03	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, DINOPHYTA (DIATOMS)	0.733	ug/L
2018-06-03	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CHLOROPHYTE (GREEN ALGAE)	1.127	ug/L
2018-06-03	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CYANOBACTERIA (BLUEGREEN)	0.204	ug/L
2018-06-03	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CRYPTOPHYTA (CRYPTOPHYTES)	0	ug/L
2018-06-18	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CHLOROPHYTE (GREEN ALGAE)	1.861	ug/L
2018-06-18	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CYANOBACTERIA (BLUEGREEN)	0.233	ug/L
2018-06-18	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, DINOPHYTA (DIATOMS)	1.125	ug/L
2018-06-18	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, CRYPTOPHYTA (CRYPTOPHYTES)	0.408	ug/L
2018-07-01	CHLOROPHYLL A (PROBE) CONCENTRATION, DINOPHYTA (DIATOMS)	40.2	ug/L
Showing 1 to 1	0 of 143 entries Previous 1 2 3 4	5 1	5 Next